

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/046,500 10/24/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
		Guy Richards	24180-124005		
7	590 05/06/2003				
Matthew E. Leno			EXAMINER		
McDermott, W 31st Floor	ill & Emery	NOLAN, SANDRA M			
227 West Mon	roe Street			-	
Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3.,			1772	6	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					AC				
		Applicati	ion N .	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/046,5	500	RICHARDS ET AL.					
		Examin	r	Art Unit					
		Sandra M		1772					
The MAI. Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with th	correspondence addres	s				
THE MAILING I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this commity specified above is less than thirty (3 bly is specified above, the maximum stain the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months a adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and very will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) fi	led on							
2a)☐ This acti	ion is FINAL .	2b) This action is	s non-final.		•				
	is application is in condition accordance with the prac				erits is				
Disposition of Cla	ims								
4)⊠ Claim(s)	1-50 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)☐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	1-50 are subject to restricti	ion and/or election re	quirement.						
Application Paper									
,— .	fication is objected to by th		Tabiaatadta bytho Evo	min or					
	ng(s) filed on is/are:								
• •	at may not request that any ob esed drawing correction file	-			•				
,	_			ovod by the Examinon					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
,	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•							
•	edgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
•—	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	• • •		, , , , , ,					
,									
_	pies of the certified copies application from the Interr	national Bureau (PCT	「Rule 17.2(a)).		je				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) \square The t	translation of the foreign land	nguage provisional a	pplication has been red	ceived.	,				
Attachment(s)	Admin is made of a cidill	ioi domestic priority (ander 55 5.5.5. 33 120	J GHQ/OF 12 (.					
1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftspe	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (I osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		_	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152					

Application/Control Number: 10/046,500

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-24, drawn to containers, classified in class 428, subclass 36.6.
 - II. Claims 25-41, drawn to preforms, classified in class 428, subclass 35.7+.
 - Claims 42-45, drawn to methods of reheating preforms, classified in class264, subclass (unknown).
 - IV. Claims 46-50, drawn to stretchrods, classified in class 264, subclass (unknown).
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a container and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the performs can be used in processes that don't use reheating.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be made and used without each other. That is, the container can be made without the stretchrod and the stretchrod can be used to make other articles.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used without each other. That is, the performs can be used without the stretchrods and the stretchrods can be used in making articles that are not derived from performs.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be practiced without each other. That is,

Art Unit: 1772

the perform reheating method can be carried out without a stretchrod and the stretchrod can be used in processes that do not involve the reheating of performs.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. A telephone call was made to Mr. Matthew Leno on 02 May 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner, Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 703/308-9545. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,500 Page 6

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 703/308-4251. The general fax number for the art unit is 703/305-5436. The fax number for after final communications is 703/872-9310. The receptionist answers 703/308-0661.

S. M. Nolan

Patent Examiner

5. M. Nola

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 10046500(6) 05 May 2003